

1.

a)

The variable `i` appears to "remember" its values between the calls because the variable is not set to a value rather its left open to be incremented by the stack between function calls of `foo`. -

b)

```
void foo() {  
    int i;  
    printf("%d ", i++);  
}
```

```
void bar(){  
    int i = 2;  
}
```

```
void main() {  
    int j;  
    for (j = 1; j <= 10; j++) {  
        foo();  
        bar();  
    }  
}
```

To alter the behavior I create a `bar` function that sets the value of `i` to 2. This changes the increment as its reset to 2 every time the function `bar` is called.

0 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

2.

It's not possible to write a macro in `C` that returns a factorial of an integer as macros are not recursive. A part of that is thanks to the macro being processed during compile time.

3.

a)

In a language like `C` that is pass by value, the general purpose swap subroutine would be impossible to write due to the fact that the subroutine would not be able to change the values of the variables/arguments passed in.

b)

In a language like `Algo 60` that is pass by name, the general purpose swap subroutine would also be impossible to write due to the fact that the subroutine would not be able as some of the parameters would rely upon each other.

4.

a)

Pass by value: The values aren't changed, the values are printed in main.

Output: 1 10 11

b)

Pass by reference: x value gets i and increments to 2. y = z which currently has the incremented i of 2 which then assigns a[1] as 2. z increments i once more to print i as 3, and a[2] is unchanged.

Output: 3 2 11

c)

Value-result: The function returns the i/x value as 2, it then returns a[1]/y as 1 as it is equal to z which when z is put into the argument is

one but z returns as also 1. a[2] therefore isn't touched.

Output: 2 1 11

d)

Pass by name: the function returns the value of i as 2 thanks to the x variable. a[2] is equal to the variable i. and then i is once again incremented.

The potential issues with this method is that it's ambiguous whether the index of the array is evaluated before the function or while the function is executing.

Another issue is that the copied value of i should be recycled in the parameter such as when i = x vs i = z.

Output: 2 10 3

5. No the program will not run faster, as the compiler will just assign a default value that does not take more time than a user inputted value.